

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 08/925,703  | 09/09/1997     | DUANE LE ALLEN       | MICL:024(97-                    | 5929             |
| 7   | 590 08/01/2003 |                      |                                 |                  |
| COE F. MILES  |                |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |
| TROP PRUNER HU & MILES, PC<br>8554 KATY FREEWAY<br>SUITE 100<br>HOUSTON, TX 77024 |                |                      | OPIE, GEORGE L                  |                  |
|   |                |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   |                |                      | 2126<br>DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003 | 29               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

un

| Advisory Action   | 08/925,703   | Duane Le Allen  |
|---|--|---|
| · Marine  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   | George L. Opie   | 2126  |
| The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicate  | ion appears on the cover sheet v   | with the correspond nce address   |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is requifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be of allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under                            | ired to avoid abandonment of the<br>either a timely filed amendment<br>y, applicant may obtain further | his application. A proper reply to a twhich places the application in condition |
| PERIOD  | FOR REPLY [check only a) or  | b)]   |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the m<br>In view of the early submission of the proposed reply<br>reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Act<br>whichever is later. In no event, however, will the stat<br>mailing date of the final rejection. | y (within two months as set forth in MPEF<br>tion, OR continues to run from the mailin                 | g date of the final rejection,  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked.  | of extension and the corresponding amou  | nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37                           |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof  |  |   |
| 2 The proposed amendment(s) will be enterousite fees.   | ed upon the timely submission  | of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief  |
| 3 The proposed amendment(s) will not be e   | entered because:   |   |
| (a) they raise new issues that would requ   | ire further consideration and/or   | search. (see NOTE below);   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see  | ee Note below);  |   |
| (c)they are not deemed to place the app issues for appeal; and/or   | lication in better form for appea  | al by materially reducing or simplifying the                                    |
| (d) they present additional claims without<br>NOTE:   | canceling a corresponding nur  | mber of finally rejected claims.  |
| 4 Applicant's reply has overcome the following  | ng rejection(s):   |   |
| 5 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | would be allowable if submit   | ted in a separate, timely filed amendment                                       |
| 6. X The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) X reapplication in condition for allowance beca determination based on the Admitted F configuration file, in the manner recited in the discussed in the explanatory reasoning of the   | ause: Applicant's arguments<br>Prior Art and Microsoft's Serv<br>pending claim, does not constitute    | fail to vitiate the obviousness   |
| 7 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideral raised by the Examiner in the final rejection   |  | SOLELY to issues which were newly   |
| 8. $\underline{x}$ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the cl   | aim(s) is as follows (see attach   | ed written explanation, if any):  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 34-51  |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: no   | one .  |   |
| 9 The proposed drawing correction filed on  | a) has b) has  | not been approved by the Examiner.  |
| 10 Note the attached Information Disclosure   | Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Pape   | er No(s)  |
| 11<br>Other:  |  | ZARNI MAUNG   |

Application N .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Applicant(s)